This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,460	04/25/2001	Ian Ruddle	010431-0003-999	8317	
7590 09/27/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
PENNIE & EDMONDS LLP COUNSELLORS AT LAW 1155 Avenue of the Americas			PEESO, THOMAS R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY			2132		
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/843	3,460	RUDDLE, IAN	•				
Office Action Summary		Exami	ner	Art Unit	*. -				
		Thoma	is R. Peeso	2132					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	9SS				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI ensions of time may be available under the provisior SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core period for reply specified above is less than thirty operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three month leed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply ar bly will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a statutory minimum of thir ad will expire SIX (6) MON application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comn BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status									
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.						
3)□	, — · ·								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict.	are withdrawn from							
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>04252001</u> is Applicant may not request that any objected that any objected the oath or declaration is objected	s/are: a) A accepte ection to the drawing (s) be held in abeyar quired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•				
12) <u></u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priorit Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have to y documents have to s of the priority docu ional Bureau (PCT I	peen received. peen received in A uments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Sta	age				
Attachmer	• •								
2) Notice (3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date. nformal Patent Application (PTO-19	52)				

Application/Control Number: 09/843,460

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,442,696 to Wray et al.

As per claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13 and 14, Wray et al. discloses these features (see col. 14, lines 12-34 and col. 13, lines 4-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,460

Art Unit: 2132

Claims 3-6, 9-12, 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wray et al. in view of the examiner taking official notice.

As per claims 3, 9 and 15, Wray et al. do not specifically disclose these limitations. The examiner, however, takes official notice that many systems are designed as such. It would have been obvious to anyone having an ordinary level of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included this feature in the invention of Wray et al. since this information should be known to all parties in order that the situation can be corrected.

As per claim 4, the examiner further takes official notice that it is well known in the art to contain authorized users in a list. It would have been obvious to anyone having an ordinary level of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the invention of Wray et al. to include these features since it increases the level of security and provides an simple method of identifying authorized users.

As per claims 5, 11 and 17, the examiner also takes official notice that this is a well known method of identification. An example of this is a security entrance where people need to input their fingerprint, eye, or other physical parameters in order to establish their identity.

As per claims 6, 10, 12, 16 and 18, the examiner also takes official notice concerning the limitations of these claims for the same reason as stated in the statement of claim 4.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 6,023,762.

U.S. Patent No. 6,134,659.

U.S. Patent No. 6,169,976.

U.S. Patent No. 6,314,521.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Peeso whose telephone number is 703 305-9784. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur, 7:00 to 4:30 and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 703 305-1830. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 746-7239 for official communications, 703 746-7240 for unofficial communications and 703 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2132

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-3900.

Thomas R. Peeso Primary Examiner Art Unit 2132

September 22, 2004